

**MINUTES OF PARISH COUNCIL MEETING**  
**FOSTON ON THE WOLDS PARISH COUNCIL**

**02 June 2010**

|                   |                       |                   |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Present:</b>   | <b>Mr R Watts</b>     | <b>Chair</b>      |
|                   | <b>Mr C Hostick</b>   |                   |
|                   | <b>Mrs J Foreman</b>  |                   |
|                   | <b>Mrs L Vuillamy</b> |                   |
|                   | <b>Mr K Tate</b>      |                   |
|                   | <b>Mr J Tickle</b>    |                   |
|                   | <b>Mr RL Mole</b>     | <b>Clerk</b>      |
| <b>Apologies:</b> | <b>Mr T Sellers</b>   | <b>Vice Chair</b> |

**Agenda Item 1 - Minutes of the Last Meeting**

1. The Minutes of the Annual Parish and Annual PC Meeting **05 May 2010** were read and agreed a true record.

**Proposed:** Mr Tickle  
**Seconded:** Mrs Vuillamy  
**Agreed:** Unanimously

**Agenda Item 2 - Matters Arising**

2. Letter of thanks to Highways – all Councillors were content with the project completion, so the Clerk was asked to write to Highways to thank them for their significant contribution.

**Action:** Clerk

3. Audit submitted – confirmed.

4. Lissett Windfarm Grant accepted by Clerk on behalf of the Council. This grant has been received into the PC Account. Mr Hostick gave a detailed account of the workings of the decision making process. The Council asked Mr Hostick to represent their views on the allocation of voting within the forum, especially with reference to Ward Councillors.

**Agenda Item 3 – HPA Community Forum**

5. Mrs Foreman has kindly agreed to attend this forum on Monday 7 June 2010 in Driffield.

#### **Agenda Item 4 – EA Consultation River Hull Flood Risk**

6. It was confirmed that most households had received copies of the consultation. Mr Hostick took the PC copies for consideration. It was noted that the views of contributors had already been expressed, that further consultation seemed unnecessary in light of this, and that little notice was being taken of the submissions anyway.

7. Mrs Foreman had attended a further consultation with EA and reported her observations to the PC which included:

Period of consultation 21 May 2010 for 12 weeks

Results to be published autumn 2010

Points to be covered are in the Executive Summary of the consultation booklet

ERYC is co-operating better with EA and placed their concerns at the meeting

Sources of funding and their dependability

Upgrade of equipment

Work lists to be passed to Parish Councils

Inclusion within LDF to 2026

8. It was agreed that one of the major concerns for this area was the maintenance of the pumping facilities, and that the rural nature of the region held it at a disadvantage over the urban areas.

9. Mrs Foreman agreed to attend the next forum, contacting Mr Sellers if unable to go. The Chair thanked Mrs Foreman for her detailed report and continued monitoring of this important topic.

#### **Agenda Item 5 – Village Taskforce Programme**

10. The programme as it affects the Parish has been issued. It was agreed that the walkabout date should be 31 Aug 2010, and the following kindly agreed to be available for their respective areas:

Throughout: Clerk

Gembling: Mr Hostick

Foston: Mr Tickle

Brigham: Mrs Vuillamy/Mrs Foreman

#### **Agenda Item 6 – ER LDF & Joint Minerals Development Plan**

11. These items and associated documentation were passed to Mr Hostick for review and comment, as necessary. The Chair thanked Mr Hostick for his continued diligence.

#### **Agenda Item 7 - Planning**

12. The PC had been asked to comment on any visual implications associated with the change of use of the turkey farm in Kelk to a broiler unit. Mr Hostick had considered the item on behalf of the PC (to fulfil a tight deadline) with the agreement

of the Chair, and had responded with a no comment. The meeting endorsed this decision.

#### **Agenda Item 8 – Funding Application for Cinema equipment from Village Hall**

13. This item had been circulated to Councillors before the meeting, an application having been made to the PC from the Village Hall Committee. All present had received and considered the application.

14. Questions were asked of Mr Tickle as the VHC representative, including the provision of additional funding to the successful windfarm grant, the type of equipment, likely providers, storage and similar items.

15. It was agreed that a grant of £1000 be made to the VHC as a contribution towards the capital equipment associated with the audio-visual project.

**Proposed:** Mrs Vuillamy

**Seconded:** Mr Tate

**Agreed:** Unanimously

16. Note: Mr Tickle took no part in the decision or the discussion following his responses to the questions posed by the Council having declared a possible conflict of interest being a VHC member.

#### **Agenda Item 9 – Correspondence**

17. **Manor Farm Culvert** Since the last meeting Mr Hostick had spoken to Mr Graham at Manor Farm, and it had been confirmed that they would be delighted with assistance from the PC with upgrading the culvert under the entrance to Mr Tennant's field at the north east end of the common. This would, as previously discussed, facilitate better drainage from Manor Farm. Should the road culvert require attention afterwards the PC would approach Highways.

18. The access upgrade to Mr Tennant's field will be specified so as to facilitate use as per his access on the north boundary of the common. Mr Hostick will inform Mr Tennant of intentions to assist.

19. The work comes within the terms of the Commons Act 2006, Section 38, Works and Processes that do not need Consent (Guidance Notes 1b).

{The work will not impede access to or over the common. There will be no resurfacing, but a re-instatement of existing cover. The four reasons given to exclude this item from Section 38 are all applicable and are as follows:

- a. Works that are so small they do not impede access.
- b. Works that do not include a new impediment to access.
- c. Works that facilitate access.
- d. Processes, rather than works that do not need consent.

20. After Mr Tennant has been contacted the Clerk will ask contractors for a quote for the work.

21. **Dyke Damage Northern Boundary of Common** The meeting was reminded of the role this dyke plays in the recently completed drainage project. Photographs taken 01 June 2010 show the irresponsible inclusion of the shallow and delicate banks of this watercourse within electric fencing, permitting horses into the watercourse and damaging the profile. Water was seen to be prevented from flowing within the pipe to Mr Tennant's access to his field due to this damage.

22. The Council expressed dismay at this damage, and agreed it will have to be repaired by the Parish Council as it adopted maintenance of the dyke in consultation with the landowner, Mr Tennant. It was agreed that a quote be obtained for this repair and the work done, costs being claimed from those responsible for the electric fencing.

23. It was further agreed that the Clerk write to Mr Goodison, ERYC Legal Department, to outline the issue and seek confirmation from those parties responsible that responsible fencing will be invoked.

24. The following were issued:

ER Parish News  
CE Electric Update  
C&CD

**Agenda Item 10 – Date of Next Meeting**

25. The date of the next meeting is to be **7 July 2010 at 1900 hrs.**

26. There being no other business the meeting closed at 2030 hrs.

**R Watts  
Chair**

**RL Mole  
Clerk**